



Interreg



Co-funded by
the European Union

Central Baltic Programme

Central Baltic Programme

PBA workshop

Merike Niitepõld | 14-15 October
2026 | Berlin

State of play

- The JPC has started its work in early October
- Only the first discussions on thematic areas have been launched
- No information yet on Specific objectives or measures to be chosen, or how they will be set up
- No information on programme budget
- Guidance given from Member States: a focused, result-oriented programme using simplifications and with a limited number of measures for efficiency.
- Internal work ongoing to build shared knowledge of strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and risks of the different PBA options

Timeline 2027

- January - Measures are foreseen to be known
 - February - Setting up working groups
 - Spring - Working groups, JPC, external experts, stakeholder hearings
 - September - Programme document ready
 - December - Submission
-
- Call to open ASAP

For whom do we do this?

- Commission - meeting regulatory requirements
- The applicants and projects
 - Reaching results in the most cost-effective and simple way
 - Finding the best way to apply PBA and payout values is key
- Ourselves - doing a good work and being motivated

Payout values

Establish the union contribution available for pay-out values under the Plan

- Costing (currently not known)

Determine the pay-out value of each reform

- No reforms foreseen

Distribute the pay-out values across the milestones and targets of each measures

- Here we go!

Pay-out value considerations

A balancing act between

- Risks
- Simplification for beneficiaries
- Easy reporting for beneficiaries and programme
- Performance-based logic
- What we know well
- Financial flows
- Decommitment
- ...

Financial flow testing

- Is foreseen to be done with more realistic information
- Good available data on indicators, project timing etc

Milestones (and indicators)

- Milestones for process are possible
- Call 1 related milestones
- Call 2 related milestones
- The call related milestones logic could also be used for lower level

Example 1

- Call 1 for [measure] launched
- Call 1 projects for [measure] selected
- ...

Example 2

- Call 1 has led to identifying committed relevant organisations to carry out the work
- Call 1 projects have selected companies and started active work with the selected companies
- Call 1 projects have carried out an Export activity
- ...
- Call 1 projects have ...
- The first Call 1 project has signed a sales contract

Example 3

- Projects for call 1 Subsidy Contracts have been signed
- Call 1 projects have provided a list of participating companies
- Mid-term meeting with call 1 project carried out; all projects are working according to plan or a mitigation plan has been prepared
- Call 1 projects have produced the agreed-upon final deliverable.

(Milestones and) indicators

- Mostly one intervention field per measure foreseen
- Very limited use of IF 142 foreseen
- Hoping for a mix of indicators being achieved at different points in time
- Use of small projects to generate indicator achievements early to be considered
- A set of interim and final targets
 - Interim target with small amount as early as possible

Building the system

- Decommitment
- Ensuring financial flow (early payments understood to be allowed)
- Building in risks and underachievement
- Using interim targets to test the system
- Involving the AA and their experience

Remains to be seen

- How to build PBA
- What the Commission will expect and accept
- AA views
- ...

Interreg



Co-funded by
the European Union

Central Baltic Programme

www.centralbaltic.eu



Thank You!



Varsinais-Suomen liitto