

2028-2034

HIT group meeting

Administrative project and partner data

Alexandra Kulmer, Jose Almeida | 21 May 2026

Interact



Co-funded by
the European Union
Interreg



Agenda

Welcome & Introduction

**HIT context,
topic and
expected
outcome**

Bringing programmes up to speed

**Presentation of
draft templates
and survey
results**

Feedback rounds & harmonisation

**Discussion of
the draft
templates and
agreement on
data fields**

Wrap up & Next steps

**Next HIT Group
meeting or
follow-up steps**




Objective

HIT goes beyond simply understanding the regulation and putting it in templates:

by harmonizing the how of our work through a shared language, we eliminate confusion for beneficiaries and empower the Interreg brand to stand strong as a professional, cohesive community.

HIT is the basis for IT tools development.





HIT 2028-2034

What stays:

- We keep harmonizing templates, factsheets and guidance.

What changes:

- Open to all Interreg programmes
- Work organised in thematic HIT groups agreeing on specific parts of templates
- HIT consolidation meetings to present consolidated outcomes of the HIT groups
- Minor changes to the templates might still occur in HIT consolidation meetings

HIT 2028-2034 Groups



	Hit group	Description	Contact	Upcoming event
1	Intervention logic	This group will work on the intervention logic factsheet as well as all sections related to the project intervention logic in all the respective templates. At this stage there is a separate network working on common understanding of the Intervention logic. A first, HIT event on the intervention logic is foreseen in June . More information will follow.	Daniela Minichberger Interact	
2	Administrative project and partner data	This group will work on data fields to identify a project and a partner within different templates <u>on the basis of</u> the requirements laid down in Article 63 (1) concerning Data collection and recording.	Alexandra Kulmer Interact	Thu 21 May
3	Project communication	This group will work on all project communication requirements within the specific project templates.	Arkam Ograk Interact	Tue 2 Jun
4	Synergies and capitalisation	This group will work on harmonizing the sections on Synergies and capitalisation, starting with the application form.	Nicolas Garnier Interact	
5	Project relevance	This group will work on anything related to Project relevance which includes, impact on the territory (territoriality incl. instruments), target groups and stakeholders, investments not in the PBA sense but in the sense of the old Investments work packages and their respective fields.	Pieter Louwers Interact	
6	Partnership and project management	This group will work on the Application form and Assessment sections related to Partnership. Starting with section B in the old Application form template and section Partnership relevance in the quality assessment template. Additionally, this topic shall cover all project management related sections (e.g. section C7 in the old AF template) as well as horizontal principles.	Damir Fak Interact	
7	Technical feasibility	Technical topics will be collected in the dedicated channel during the HIT development process. If we have sufficient topics collected, we will plan a meeting with technical skilled people from programmes.	Jose Almeida Interact	
8	Budget and co-financing	This group will work on the project budget and co-financing. Since it is not entirely clear yet how lower level will work within the new performance framework, this group will only start once the PBA has clarified the common understanding on this topic.	Katja Ecke Interact	
9	Legal principles	This group will work on all legal principles within project management, such as antifraud, state aid, conflict of interest, public procurement, etc. Since the performance-based approach framework needs to be clear, this topic will only be started later.	Przemyslaw Kniaziuk Interact	Wed 27 May

Hit classification



C.4.1 Work package 1

Objectives

Purpose and logic:

- To achieve a specific project objective, partners may need to achieve one or more communication objectives. For example, to limit pollution in a city (project specific objective), they may need to: 1) Convince commuters to take the bus instead of their private car (communication objective 1); 2) Convince local politicians to put in place specific measures to reduce car traffic in the city centre (objective 2), etc. These two examples of communication objectives require different communication activities and therefore need to be specified in the AF.

Define one project specific objective that will be achieved when all activities in this work package are implemented and outputs delivered. Then think about the communication objective that will contribute to the achievement of the specific objective. Communication objectives aim at changes in a target audience's behaviour, knowledge or belief.

Your objectives should be:

- realistic and achievable by the end of the project;
- specific (who needs project outputs delivered in this work package, and in which territory);
- measurable – indicate the change you are aiming for.

Work package title (OPTIONAL)

Enter the title here

Work package number

Automatically generated

Project specific objective 1

Enter project specific objective here

Communication objective(s) and target audience

If applicable for this work package, enter communication objective here

Blue = guidance
 Grey = core field
 Yellow = Optional
 Italic = Input hint





Definitions

- **Core fields** are considered critical by HIT. Ideally a majority of programmes adopt them. The following are part of core:
 - Legal requirements (fields mentioned in the regulation)
 - Mandatory Core: Fields that are required to register data that are mandatory by regulation (e.g. registering outputs with several fields)
 - Conditional core: fields that are mandatory only in certain conditions (e.g. state aid fields, SCO's, investments fields, etc)
- **Optional-HIT-field:** **all yellow fields.** Considered by HIT not essential but acknowledges improvement of the programme performance.

Additional, non-HIT documentation



European Regional Development Fund

C.4.1 Work package 1

Objectives

Programme (s)	Request & Reasoning
CE,BSR	Want to have work package summary field, because MC decided to have it in our programme
NWE	Want to have multiple objectives for one work package because this gives us more flexibility

Why:

- To make sure that we are consistent with your agreements across templates
- This document will be public.



Administrative project and partner data in HIT templates





What we discuss today

Application form template for standard projects:

- Administrative project and partner data in **PART A and PART B**

What we don't discuss today:

- Fields related to the **Programme or Call data** (Interreg chapter ID, Call ID,...)
- Fields related to **Intervention logic** (Measure, Specific objective,...)
- Fields related to **Partner budget, funding and co-financing**
- **Fields to be replicated** from other sections
- **Overview tables** and automatic aggregation of data
- Implementation arrangements in the **monitoring systems**



What we discuss today

Supplementary Information template:

- Administrative project and partner data

What we don't discuss today:

- Fields related to [Project Management](#)
- Implementation arrangements in the [monitoring systems](#)



Guidance for the Interreg programmes

How to understand the (WORD) templates:

Blue text	Guidance text that describes the purpose and logic of a section for Interreg programmes and is not meant to be taken over in the actual programme templates.
Field label	Black text in white fields is the field label text.
<i>Field input</i>	<i>Italic text in grey fields describes the expected field input.</i>
Optional fields with yellow background	Optional fields have a yellow background and can be left out partially or in full.
Field labels with asterisk (*)	Fields with an * are mandatory according to Article 63(1) draft NRPP Regulation. The text in [] refers to the actual wording in Article 63(1), if different, and is not meant to be taken over in the actual <u>programme templates</u> .
Light grey text	These parts of the template are to be defined by another HIT group.

Not to be implemented in the monitoring systems!



Rationale of the Application form template

The main objectives of this template are:

- for applicants to submit their project proposal,
- for assessors to determine the project's eligibility, quality and relevance for the programme during project selection,
- for programme bodies to have a baseline for monitoring the project's progress during its implementation.



Rationale of the Application form template

Guidance for using this template:

To reach a high level of harmonisation, the HIT tools should be used as much as possible as they are. The tools have been designed based on an agreed structure that avoids asking for similar information in different contexts.

If needed, the following flexibility principles can be applied:

- **Optional fields (in yellow)** should be avoided as much as possible to reach simplification and harmonisation to the most possible extent.
- **Technical requirements and maximum length of text** for answers will be decided by programmes as this depends on technical options in their electronic monitoring system. Some **recommendations are given where relevant**.



Rationale of the Supplementary information

The main objectives of this template are:

- to record information that is not needed or not available at the application stage and can only be provided during the contracting or implementation stage.
- to record information that might change during implementation but does not require a change of the subsidy contract.

Different sections of the Supplementary Information template might be implemented in different modules of the monitoring system!



Rationale of the Supplementary information

Guidance for using this template:

To reach a high level of harmonisation, the HIT tools should be used as much as possible as they are. The tools have been designed based on an agreed structure that avoids asking for similar information in different contexts.

If needed, the following flexibility principles can be applied:

- Optional questions (in yellow) can be left out partially or in full.
- Technical requirements and maximum length of text for answers will be decided by programmes as this depends on technical options in their electronic monitoring system. Some recommendations are given where relevant.
- The different parts of this template can be collected at different stages (contracting, reporting, project closure), according to the needs of the programme. An indication is given in the guidance text.
- Some data might need to be updated in case of changes throughout implementation.



Background information: Data collection and recording (Art. 63 NRP)


(1) For the purposes of audit and controls, transparency and performance monitoring and evaluation, Member States shall collect, record and store electronically the information referred to in points (a) to (g), while ensuring the security, integrity and confidentiality of data and the authentication of users and allowing automated data exchange with the electronic system identified by the Commission

(2) Member States shall make available to the Commission the information referred to in paragraph 1 two times per year via automatic data exchange arrangements.

Is anyone considering to use financial instruments?

Background information:

Data collection and recording – Art. 63





Background information:

Data collection and recording – Art. 63(1)

On beneficiaries, recipients, final recipients

- Legal/natural status
- Name, date of birth, address, VAT/TIN/unique identifier
- Beneficial owners
- Amount of Union contribution committed

Specific data fields for **contractors** / Reduced data fields for **subcontractors**

On operations

- Geolocation, unique identifier of the calls for proposal/tenders
- Unique identifier of the Plans
- Sequence number of the measure/M/T
- Amount paid to the beneficiary for the operation, co-financing rate
- Date of submission of application

Specific requirements for **CAP interventions, LAG and EIP-AGRI**:

- type of farming, eligible area for CAP interventions

Specific requirements for **financial instruments**:

- holding fund





Background information: Personal data according to GDPR

- any information relating to an identified or identifiable, living **natural person** ('data subject')
- Legal persons' or enterprises data (apart from self-employed persons) **is not** personal data



Background information: General principles of GDPR

- Collect only the data that you need – minimal approach
- Data lifecycle (delete when not needed)
- Accountability – legal basis for processing AND processed data to be correctly protected
- Data subjects need to be informed that their data is processed



Background information: GDPR – legal basis for data processing

The data can be processed when there is:

- 1) **Consent**
- 2) Contractual necessity
- 3) **Compliance with legal obligation**
- 4) Necessity to protect vital interest
- 5) Performance of tasks in public interest or in exercise of official authority vested in controller (legitimate interest);

Risk scoring systems (Arachne+)



Collection and provision of some personal data will be obligatory under the next MFF:

on the beneficiary

- if natural person, first and last name, date of birth, locality and national identification number
- all beneficial owner(s) of the beneficiary: the first name(s), last name(s), date of birth, and VAT identification number(s) or tax identification number(s) where available or another unique identifier at country level

on the recipient, natural person

- the first and last name; the date of birth; the date of birth and the tax identification number where available or another unique identifier;
- the region on NUTS 2 level when the recipient is a natural person and is domiciled in the Union or the country when the recipient is a natural person and is not domiciled in the Union;

Risk scoring systems (Arachne+)



Collection and provision of personal data will be obligatory under the next MFF:

on the contractor

- all beneficial owner(s) of the beneficiary: the first name(s), last name(s), date of birth, and VAT identification number(s) or tax identification number(s) where available or another unique identifier at country level


Only for public procurements above the EC Directive threshold???

Article 36, recast Financial Regulation,

Article 63 of draft NRPP



Results from the survey



Survey results

30 valid answers representing 28 Interreg Programmes

4. Application form template: Please provide a general rating for each of the sub-sections in the draft

● Happy ● Neutral ● Sad

A.1 Project identification

A.2 Project summary


B.1 Partner identity

B.2 Partner address

B.3 Legal information and contacts

ANNEX 1 - Classification of type of partners and target groups

ANNEX 2 - Nomenclature





Survey results - A.1 Project identification

Proposals for additional fields/changes:

- Add (indicative) start and end date on top of number of months (4x mentioned)
- Add link to previous project proposals
- Project Acronym: to allow 50 characters to make bilingual acronyms possible

Not to be discussed in this group: Measure, Specific objective, Intervention field, aggregated data in overview tables, data replicated from other sections, monitoring system implementation



Survey results - A.2 Project summary

Proposals for additional fields/changes:

- Project summary in English should not be compulsory
- Ask for summary in all MS languages (even if AF is only in English)
- Add summary of the partnership
- Reframe how we ask for a summary -> to be discussed in Communication group

The content/guidance text for the project summary is to be defined by the Communication group.



Survey results - B.1 Partner identity:

Proposals for additional fields/changes:

- Create a common base for a unique partner identifier, like PIC number or other Interreg specific partner identifier e.g. via keep.eu (3x mentioned)
- Create a common reference system for Project partner designation to be shared between programmes (e.g. via keep.eu database)
- Add information whether the beneficiary is a public or a private law body - Art 63(1)(a)
- Add fields for data that programs will have to feed in ARACHNE (e.g. Number of employees, Turnover...) (4x mentioned by Romanian programmes)
- Move some data, which change more often, to partner details section
-> Move to Supplementary information, but still mandatory at application stage?



Survey results - B.2 Partner address:

Proposals for additional fields/changes:

- Although this is required at application stage, this should be separate from the 'approved application form'. The information can change often and requires an AF modification, if in the application form.
- > Move to Supplementary information, but still mandatory at application stage?

Not to be discussed in this group: Monitoring system implementation



Survey results - B.3 Legal information & contacts:

Proposals for additional fields/changes:

- For “Legal status”, remove option “governed by public law” or stick to Article 63 wording: 1. a) public law body or b) private law body; 2. a) entity with legal personality or b) entity with no legal personality
- For “Type of partner” allow manual input in case of selection of “Other” (2x mentioned)
- Add the “NACE sector” for State aid reporting
- Add for “Legal representative”: Titel, Contact details, E-mail address and telephone (4x mentioned)
- Add for “Legal representative” and “Contact person”: data that programmes will have to feed into ARACHNE (e.g. Function, Date of birth..) (4x mentioned)



Survey results - B.3 Legal information & contacts:

Proposals for additional fields/changes:

- Rename “Type of other identification number” in “Organisation ID type”
- Create a list of VAT number format per country as ANNEX (2x mentioned)
- Create a list of “Organisation ID types” and formats per country
- Make “PIC” optional (yellow) -> proposed input was “*If existing, enter here*”
- Separate certain data from the 'approved application form' -> Move to Supplementary information, but still mandatory at application stage?



Background information: Legal status

HIT 21-27: “Drop-down (minimum this: public* / private* but there could be more options)”

DIRECTIVE 2014/24/EU on public procurement Definitions Article 2 (1)

(4) bodies governed by public law’ means bodies that have all of the following characteristics:

- (a) they are established for the specific purpose of meeting needs in the general interest, not having an industrial or commercial character;
- (b) they have legal personality; and
- (c) they are financed, for the most part, by the State, regional or local authorities, or by other bodies governed by public law; or are subject to management supervision by those authorities or bodies; or have an administrative, managerial or supervisory board, more than half of whose members are appointed by the State, regional or local authorities, or by other bodies governed by public law;



Background information: Legal status

FINANCIAL REGULATION 2024/2509 mentions

- public-law body
- body governed by private law / private law bodies with public service mission

DRAFT NRP REGULATION Article 63 (1) (a) (i) exact wording:

“public or private law body, or an entity with or without legal personality, or a natural person or a group of natural persons”

-> No natural persons as beneficiaries in Interreg projects?



Survey results - ANNEX 1 - Classification of type of partners (and target groups?):

Proposals for additional fields/changes:

- Extend the list to cover any type or organizational structure (e.g. Public companies, churches,...) (5x mentioned by Romanian programmes)
- Item 6: Separate Interest groups and NGOs in separate items
- Item 6: add euroregions to examples
- Item 10: have three separate categories for each type of SME (for Indicators)
- Item 13: Separate international governmental organisation and EEIG
- What to do if more than one category is relevant for one partner? (e.g. Hospital & Higher education and research organisation) (3x mentioned)
- “Other” only relevant for Target groups, if for partners add description field (2x mentioned)



Survey results - ANNEX 2 – Project ID

PEACE-01-00001

[Programme] – [Call] – [Global project number]

Your feedback:

- Project ID without hyphen “–”
- Running project number without leading “0”
- Programmes might get ID from external systems



Survey results - ANNEX 2 – Project ID

PEACE-01-00001



PEACE.1.1

PEACE.99.99999



Survey results - ANNEX 2 – Partner ID

LP1 (Lead partner, recommended to be originally number 1 by default)

PP2 (Project partner)

X-PP3 (Original PP3 that was withdrawn / deactivated)

- ✓ **X** prefix is used anytime a partner leaves
- ✓ Numbers are never re-used (auto-sequential numbers for new partners)



Survey results - ANNEX 2 – Partner ID

Scenario	Before	After
Lead partner leaves and non-existing partner comes into project	LP1 PP2 PP3	LP4 (<i>new in project</i>) PP2 PP3 X-LP1
Project partner 2 leaves and no partner comes in	LP1 PP2 PP3	LP1 PP3 X-PP2

✓ Numbers are never re-used

Survey results

30 valid answers representing 28 Interreg Programmes

12. Supplementary information template: Please provide a general rating for each of the sub-sections in the draft.

● Happy ● Neutral ● Sad


A.1 Project dates

B.1 Beneficial owner(s)

B.2 Bank details

B.3 Location of audit documents

B.4 Contractor(s)





Survey results - A.1 Project dates

Proposals for additional fields/changes:

- Add “Date of latest re-submission of the application”
- Add “Date of subsidy contract modification” and comment field for each Subsidy contract modification
- Add the date for the “Eligibility of costs” (start and end date)
- Add “MC decision date”
- Add "Date of last payment“
- Add data for the different project phases (contracting, implementation, closure) and/or reporting periods
- "Date of project completion" is covered by the "Project end date"
- Add section A.3 Project typology (e.g. strategic, LIP – large infrastructure project, P2P, special distinction or emblem of excellence...)



Background information: Operation end date (RegioWiki)

QR00126 - Definition of operation start date:

Why is there a distinction between the actual date when the operation is physically completed or fully implemented and the end date of the operation?

Answer:

Article 63 Point viii) refers to the planned dates as set out in the grant agreement and point ix) refers to the actual date for completing the operation. This is to ensure that data published on operations provides both indications on future progress and a true picture of actual progress. These are data categories that are currently collected under the Common Provisions Regulation 2021-2027.



Survey results - B.1 Beneficial owner(s)

Proposals for additional fields/changes:

- Add a direct link to a central data base to ensure consistency or research auto-fill options
- Create a list of VAT number format and applicable types of other IT numbers per country as ANNEX
- Why is it mandatory in the section, while Article 63 says “if any”
- Add fields for data that programs will have to feed into ARACHNE (e.g. Function..) (4x mentioned)



Background information: Beneficial owner

NRP Art 63(1)(a)(iv): „information on all beneficial owners of the beneficiary, if any, as defined in Article 4(6) of Directive (EU) 2015/849”

Money laundering Directive (EU) 2015/849

Art 3(6) ‘beneficial owner’ means any natural person(s) who ultimately owns or controls the customer and/or the natural person(s) on whose behalf a transaction or activity is being conducted



Background information: Beneficial owners (RegioWiki)

QR00401 - Collection of beneficial ownership information.

Why do Member States need to collect information on beneficial owners of recipients/contractors/etc.? Why are we collecting also date of birth?

Answer:

In line with the Financial Regulation 2024, the NRPP proposal requires collection of information on beneficial owners of beneficiaries, recipients, final recipients, contractors, including date of birth (Articles 36(6) and (10) and 38(1) of the Financial Regulation).

The NRPP data collection rules on beneficial owners aim to align with those in the Financial Regulation, which also require the date of birth to be collected.



Survey results - B.2 Bank details

Proposals for additional fields/changes:

- Add internal reference number of the bank account
- Move to project report / payment claim template (collect with each project payment claim)
- Switch B1 and B2 – Make bank details B1

Not to be discussed in this group: Monitoring system implementation



Survey results - B.3 Location of audit documents

Proposals for additional fields/changes:

- Explain the logic of this section
- Remove "Homepage" and "Contact person titel"
- Add PIC (4x mentioned by Romanian programmes)
- Make section B.3 not "Optional" → **remove Yellow**



Survey results – NEW B.3.b Location of project deliverables

Proposals for additional fields/changes:

- Add section on Physical location of project deliverables (4x mentioned by Romanian programmes)
- For each project deliverable: Street, house no, Postal code, City, Country, NUTS2, NUTS 3, Contact person title, Contact person First name, Contact person Last name, Email address, Telephone



Survey results - B.4 Contractor(s)

Proposals for additional fields/changes:

- Include this section directly in the partner report template (6x mentioned)
- Add type of procurement process
- Add fields for addendum to the main contract
- Collection of data should not be limited to above the threshold contracts



Survey results - B.4 Contractor(s)

Proposals for additional fields/changes (4x mentioned by Romanian programmes):

Contractor/Contract – add fields:

- Contract end date - DD/MM/YYYY
- Procurement procedure used - select: dropdown procedures from procurement Directives
- Procurement publication date - DD/MM/YYYY
- Contract type - Select: services, goods, works
- Contract description
- Data that programs will have to feed into ARACHNE (e.g. Contractor turnover, Number of addenda, Addenda amount, Valid tenders, Excluded tenders, Total tenders, Key experts for service contracts: name, date of birth...)

Contractor beneficial owner – add fields

- Data that programs will have to feed into ARACHNE (e.g. Function..)

Sub-contractor – add fields:

- Sub-contract end date [DD/MM/YYYY]
- Procurement procedure used [select: procedures from procurement Directives]
- Contract type [select: services, goods, works]
- Data for ARACHNE (e.g. Key experts for service contracts: name, date of birth...)

Update of contract with contractor

- Eliminate: Contract currency
- Add: Contract end date
- Add possibility to update fields for data for ARACHNE (e.g.: Key experts for service contracts: name, date of birth...)



Background information: Contractor / Subcontractor (Art. 4 NRP)

(5) 'contractor' means an entity or a natural person with whom the beneficiary or the recipient enters into a contract for the specific purpose of implementing one or more operations or a part thereof;

(19) 'subcontractor' means a person or entity with whom the contractor has concluded a contract to perform part of a contract for the specific purpose of implementing one or more operations or a part thereof;



Background information: Contractor / Subcontractor (RegioWiki)

QR00125 - Data collection thresholds for contractors

Are there thresholds for the collection of data of contractors, for instance in terms of contract value?

Answer:

There are no thresholds for data collection in the Commission proposal for the NRPP Regulation. This is because the data will also be used for the purposes of audit and control, where cumulative data on funding is an important aspect. For example, if there would be thresholds for data collection, it could be possible that certain recipients would not be captured ever under the data collection and publication requirements.

The requirement to provide information on all contracts and subcontracts will apply only to the operations under the NRPP.



Survey results – NEW B.5 Partner documents

Proposals for additional fields/changes:

- Add section to upload relevant documents, e.g. task assignment letters



Proposals for additional HIT templates and factsheets 2028-2034

Call specification template:

NRP Art 63 (1) (e) (iii):

- unique identifier of the call(s) for proposals / tenders under which the operation was selected

Performance Regulation Art 12(4):

- (a) subject of the call, including a short description;
- (b) geographical area covered by the call;
- (c) type of eligible participants;
- (d) total amount of support for the call and the currency;
- (e) start and end date of the call;
- (f) link to the online platform where the call has been or will be published.



Proposals for additional HIT templates and factsheets 2028-2034

- Beneficial Owner Factsheet
- > HIT Group on Legal principles



Background information: Publication of data

Strong transparency – Art. 64

Reinforced transparency and traceability of the use of funds

Objectives: maximum transparency - publish what is collected (subject to targeted exceptions, e.g. protection of personal data)

KEY RULES FOR TRANSPARENCY

- **Member States to publish information on beneficiaries, recipients, final recipients, contractors, subcontractors and operations** on their website and keep it up to date
- **Commission to publish the same information on the Single Gateway** (including the enhanced Financial Transparency System)
 - Commission to publish the **share of the Union contribution** by using the co-financing rate

EXCEPTIONS TO PUBLICATION

- **Protection of personal data**
- Where **Union law or national law excludes publication** for reasons of security, public order, criminal investigations
- Exceptions of **Article 38(3) of the Financial Regulation** (e.g. education supports paid to natural persons)



Background information: Publication of data (RegioWiki)

QR00132 - Publication of funding calls

Will Member States have to publish all calls for expressions of interest and calls for proposals on the “Single Gateway”?

Answer:

Article 12 of the Performance Regulation establishes a public website (the Single Gateway) displaying information on the budget, including on ongoing and upcoming calls for proposals and calls for tenders financed by the budget (across all management modes and programmes). The purpose of this provision is to ensure transparency and facilitate access to funding opportunities for potential beneficiaries by consolidating information that is currently scattered across multiple online portals.

Article 64 of the NRP regulation specifies that such information must be published by the Member States on a website, in a digital-ready, open, interoperable and machine-readable format, which allows data to be sorted, searched, extracted, compared and reused.



Feedback and harmonisation





**Let's discuss
and try to come
to agreements**



Wrap up & next steps





Next steps

- Presentation will be published on the Interact website
- Templates will be updated and shared in the HIT Teams channel
- Next meeting will be organized end of June / beginning of July



Teams

Central place for all activities, what can you find here:

- Relevant HIT groups
- Every event will be announced
- Every working document
- Final agreements & tools (surveys, etc).






Website

Main purpose:

- Inform about HIT
- List of HIT groups and events that will contribute to HIT
- Downloadable final HIT packages
- Link to Teams

Link: <https://www.interact.eu/programme-management/post-2027/hit-2028-2034>

Cooperation can be complex; our job is to make it easier.

Interact  Co-funded by the European Union Interreg

[About Interact & our service](#) ▾
 [Programme management](#) ▾
 [Project management and skills](#) ▾
 [Communication & visibility](#) ▾
 [Finance & control](#) ▾
 [Synergies & cooperation](#) ▾

Organisation	Implementation	Post 2027
Monitoring systems	Closing programmes 2014-20	Future regulatory discussions
Partnerships	Cross-border obstacles	HIT 2028-2034
Procedures	EGTCs	Interreg wide consultation
Roles and responsibilities	Harmonisation and simplification	Programme own consultations
	Localism and PO5	Performance-based approaches
	Programme evaluation and indicators	
	Small project funds	

Where to start

If you are new to Interreg, or new to HIT, visit the linked page to find out about [HIT as a tool](#) as well as see and download previous versions of HIT templates.

**Please provide
your feedback
about this
event!**

HIT group meeting: Administrative
project and partner data





Cooperation works

All materials will be available on:

1. Interact HIT teams and the dedicated channel
2. The final templates will also be available on the website

Interact



Co-funded by
the European Union
Interreg



Disclaimer

Publisher

Interact Programme

Date updated

21 May 20256

Primary knowledge area

Post27, HIT

Author(s)

Alexandra Kulmer, Jose Almeida

Unless otherwise stated,
licensed under [CreativeCommons.org](https://creativecommons.org).
For more information visit creativecommons.org

